Electrotonic vascular signal conduction and nephron synchronization
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The myogenic mechanism and tubuloglomerular feedback (TGF) act together to provide autoregulation of renal blood and glomerular filtration rate (GFR). Each mechanism oscillates in normal animals; the TGF oscillation has the larger amplitude and longer period length of the two (13). The oscillations are the result of nonlinearities in each system, and these two mechanisms interact because they act on a single contractile mechanism in arteriolar smooth cells (21). The interactions lead to synchronization of the oscillations and modulation of the frequency and amplitude of the myogenic mechanism by TGF (23). Because of the synchronization between the myogenic and the TGF oscillations, the frequencies of the two oscillations operate with a fixed ratio in the individual nephron, a 5:1 ratio being the most frequent.

The TGF and myogenic oscillations in adjacent nephrons also synchronize (33, 37). Adjacent in this context means that the nephrons are supplied with blood from a common cortical radial artery. TGF activation in a single nephron is known to cause a similar but smaller response in adjacent nephrons (4, 15, 26). Synchronization is a well-known phenomenon (27) found in many nonlinear oscillating physical and biological systems. The concept has been especially fruitful when applied to studies of brain function (30–32), but the study of the phenomenon in the kidney is just beginning. Synchronization facilitates the aggregation of small units, such as nephrons, into larger ones, and new properties often emerge from the ensemble.

In this study, we tested two hypotheses about the mechanism of nephron-nephron synchronization: that activation of TGF induces depolarization of vascular smooth muscle cells which propagates away from the juxtaglomerular apparatus and that the depolarization is a plausible mechanism for synchronization. We used a voltage-sensitive fluorescent dye in the perfused mouse juxtaglomerular apparatus and found that TGF activation initiates a change in membrane potential of smooth muscle cells in adjacent arterioles. The depolarization propagates away from the juxtaglomerular apparatus and into adjacent arteriolar smooth muscles. We then used a mathematical model of TGF-myogenic interactions (21) to determine the magnitude of the electrical resistance between adjacent nephrons by fitting a two-nephron model to experimental data (35), and we used the result to test for synchronization. The two-nephron model shows synchronization of both TGF and myogenic oscillations between nephrons of different lengths. Without coupling, the frequency of the TGF oscillation depends on tubule length, and the frequencies of the myogenic oscillations are also different in the two nephrons because of the synchronization between myogenic and TGF oscillations in each nephron (33). With coupling, the TGF frequency of both nephrons shifts to a common value, and the synchronization of TGF with the myogenic oscillation remains at 5:1. These results support the suggestion that vascular electrical conduction permits the synchronization phenomena that have been observed in experiments. In addition, the model permits us to predict the stability of synchronization, which is enhanced by nephron-to-nephron coupling.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal experiments: in vitro isolated, perfused juxtamedullary apparatus. Afferent arterioles with attached glomerulus and distal tubule containing the macula densa (JGA complex) were dissected from among the most superficial nephrons in the cortex of C57/BL6 mice [6 wk of age, from our colony at the University of Southern California (USC)] and microperfused as described in a previous report (26). All animal protocols were conducted in accordance with the guidelines published by the American Physiological Society and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of USC, where all the experiments were conducted. The dissection medium was prepared from a DMEM/F-12 mixture (Sigma). Fetal bovine serum (Hyclone) was added at a final concentration of 3%. Each preparation was transferred to a thermoregulated Lucite chamber mounted on a Leica IRE2 inverted microscope. Bath fluid was a modified Krebs-Ringer/HCO3 buffer containing (in mM) 115 NaCl, 25 NaHCO3, 0.96 NaH2PO4, 0.24 Na2HPO4, 5 KCl, 1.2 MgSO4, 2 CaCl2, 5.5 d-glucose and 100 μM t-arginine. The tubule perfusate was an isosmotic, low NaCl containing Ringer’s solution consisting of (in mM) 10 NaCl, 135 N-methyl-d-glucamine (NMDG) cyclamate, 5 KCl, 1 MgSO4, 1.6 NaH2PO4, 0.4 Na2HPO4, 1.5 CaCl2, 5 d-glucose, and 10 HEPES. The tubule segment containing the macula densa was cannulated separately and perfused at a baseline rate of ~2 nl/min. The solutions were aerated with 95% O2-5% CO2 for 45 min, and their pH was adjusted to 7.4. The bath was exchanged at a rate of 1 ml/min. The preparation was kept in the dissection solution at an initial temperature of 4°C until cannulation of the tubule was completed, at which point the temperature was raised gradually to 37°C and maintained at that level for the remainder of the experiment. TGF was activated by raising the NaCl concentration in the tubule perfusate from 10 to 80 mM for a period of 10–15 s (26). Isosmolality was maintained by reducing NMDG cyclamate.

Multiphoton fluorescence microscopy. Preparations were visualized using a two-photon excitation laser-scanning confocal fluorescence microscope (TCS SP2 AOB MP confocal microscope system, Leica-Microsystems, Heidelberg, Germany). A Leica DM IRE2 inverted microscope was powered by a wideband, fully automated, infrared (710–920 nm) combined photo-diode pump laser and modelocked titanium:sapphire laser (Mai-Tai, Spectra-Physics) for multiphoton excitation. Images were collected in time series at 2.5 Hz and analyzed with the Leica LCS imaging software (LCS 2.61.1537) Quantification Tools. Vascular smooth muscle cells of the afferent arteriole were loaded from the bath with ANNINE-6, a newly synthesized voltage-sensitive dye designed for ultrafast (1 ms) neutral signal detection (17). ANNINE-6 was dissolved in 20% Pluronic F-127 DMSO (Sigma) at a concentration of 0.5 mg/ml (dye stock solution), which was added to the bath solution diluted 1:100 for 10 min and then washed out. ANNINE-6 is highly membrane specific and responds to a membrane-depolarizing voltage with a fluorescence decrease (17). ANNINE-6 was excited at 920 nm using the two-photon laser, and fluorescence was detected in the range of 520–800 nm.

Simulations. Simulations were conducted with the model presented previously (21) and which is in the Appendix. Tables of the parameter values used to solve the model are given in Supplemental Materials (the online version of the article contains supplemental data). Three changes were made to the equation structure of the previous model: the addition of the coupling current to the differential equations simulating membrane currents (Eqs. A21 and A22); the addition of a nephron-coupling network; and the use of nephrons of variable length. New parameters were the conductance coupling the two nephrons through an electrical node and a conductance of the node to ground. The model was otherwise used unmodified from its original form, with respect to both equation structure and all parameter values but one. The exception is θm, which sets the time course of the fraction of open K-channel states (Eq. A20) and is used to modify the frequency of myogenic oscillations to permit synchronization with the TGF oscillation. Some of the numerical methods have been improved, as detailed below.

In brief, the model solves three partial differential equations which express the change in tubular pressure, flow, and NaCl concentration with respect to time and length along the nephron (Eqs. A1, A2, and A7). The tubular wall is mechanically compliant (Eq. A6) and reabsorbs fluid and NaCl (Eqs. A4, A5, and A8). The NaCl concentration at the region of the tubule corresponding to the macula densa is used as a signal to TGF which affects afferent arteriolar diameter (Eq. A9). The boundary conditions are glomerular filtration rate, calculated by the model of Deen et al. (9) (Eqs. A10–A13); the tubular NaCl concentration at the end of the proximal tubule (fluid reabsorption along the proximal tubule produces no change in Na concentration, and the calculation of NaCl concentration is therefore begun at the beginning of the loop of Henle); and the tubular hydrostatic pressure at the distal end of the tubular model. The tubular resistance in the connecting tubule and the collecting ducts decreases with increasing flow rate because of the tubule’s mechanical compliance (20). This relationship, which is critical to the calculation of tubular pressure, is represented in a nonlinear expression (Eq. A3).

The time-dependent behavior of the smooth muscle cells of the afferent arterioles is simulated by a system of six ordinary differential equations that express the rate of change with respect to time of the fraction of open membrane K+ channels (Eqs. A17–A20), the intracellular Ca2+ concentration (Eqs. A16, A24–A26), the membrane electrical potential difference (PD; Eqs. A21 and A22), the fraction of actin-myosin cross bridges (Eq. A28), the mechanical force of contraction (Eqs. A29–A32), and the arteriolar diameter (Eqs. A33–A37), all as functions of time. The K+ channels are Ca2+ and voltage sensitive (Eqs. A17 and A18), and the Ca2+ channels are voltage sensitive (Eq. A24). Intracellular Ca2+ governs the phosphorylation of myosin light chain (Eq. A27), and the fraction of actin-myosin cross bridges is a function of the fraction of phosphorylated sites on myosin light chain (Eq. A28). The force of contraction is dependent on the number of cross bridges and the length of the contractile mechanism (Eq. A32), and the circumferential length of the smooth muscle cells is a resultant of the stresses from the contractile and elastic elements of the cells, and the action of the local pressure difference across the arteriolar wall. The behavior of these variables along the length of the afferent arteriole is approximated by making the calculations in two segments. The segment closer to the glomerulus receives the larger fraction of the TGF input. In the model, this segment accounts for one-third of the total length of the afferent arteriole. The two segments are electrically coupled. TGF input is used to modulate the membrane Ca2+ conductance. The vascular resistance of the afferent arteriole is calculated from the diameters of the two segments, under the assumption that the resistance varies inversely with the fourth power of the diameter. The complete model simulates both TGF and myogenic oscillations at measured frequencies and amplitudes; it also predicts their synchronization at a frequency ratio of 5:1 and modulation of the amplitude and frequency of the myogenic oscillation by TGF. These phenomena have been observed in experimental data (21, 33).

Electrical coupling between nephrons was simulated with electrical conductances between the proximal ends of the proximal arteriolar segments in each arteriole, connected to a node in the cortical radial artery. The node was connected to ground by a separate conductance which is intended to represent the conductive pathway to other nephrons supplied by the artery and finally to some ground point in the circulation. Current flows at the node were made to sum to zero, in accordance with Kirchhoff’s first law.

The original single-nephron model was modified to establish baseline predictions for tubules of different lengths. The single-nephron model used here differed from the version presented (21) only in the numerical methods, as detailed below. The results of this model for cortical nephrons have remained unaltered by the change to the newer methods. The two-nephron model was used to estimate the conduc-
alference of the pathway connecting the two nephrons, and to detect synchronization.

**Numerical methods.** The partial differential equations describing pressure, flow, and NaCl concentration in each renal tubule were solved using centered difference approximations and are second order correct (34). The spatial step, $2.5 \times 10^{-2}$ cm (21), was reduced to $1 \times 10^{-2}$ cm, and the time step was $2.5 \times 10^{-4}$ s. The auxiliary equations, including the boundary conditions, were solved with the Newton-Raphson method. All calculations were performed in double precision.

The solutions of the ordinary differential equations were obtained with Gear’s variable step-size method, using backward differentiation and program-generated Jacobians. This code is from the Livermore National Laboratories, and is available for download at https://computation.llnl.gov/casc/odepack/download/lsode_agree.html. The software has been upgraded and improved from an earlier version, the solutions of the partial differential equations required iterations at each time step, the time steps for the solution of the ordinary differential equations were synchronized with those used for the partial differential equations.

For each time step, the glomerular model was solved by using the afferent resistance and the tubular inlet pressure from the preceding time step as initial estimates. Using the calculated value for GFR for the inflow rate to the tubular system, the pressure, flow, and NaCl equations were solved iteratively within each iteration of the whole system time step. Convergence was assumed when the Euclidean norm of the changes in the afferent resistance was $<10^{-3}$ and $<10^{-5}$ for the NaCl concentration vector. The new calculated value for the NaCl concentration at the macula densa was used to estimate the afferent arteriolar resistance. The procedure was repeated, using the new value for the afferent resistance and the tubular inlet pressure, until the system of equations converged. Convergence was assumed when the Euclidean norm of the changes in the afferent resistance was $<10^{-6}$ in successive iterations. Three or four iterations were typically needed to achieve convergence.

Each solution of the model simulated 600–1,200 s. A run that simulated 600 s required ~210 s of machine time on a server with a 3.16-GHz processor and 2 Gb of allocated memory. The runs were sampled at 4 Hz, yielding time series with 4,800 values for 1,200 s.

**RESULTS**

**Perfused juxtaglomerular apparatus.** Figure 1 shows microscopic images of dissected afferent arterioles, all branching from a common cortical radial artery. One arteriole supplies a glomerulus, and the perfused segment of tubule containing the macula densa remains attached to the juxtaglomerular apparatus of that glomerulus. The preparation has been bathed in a solution containing the voltage sensitive dye, ANNINE-6. Figure 1A contains the control image before TGF stimulation and shows the regions of interest that were sampled; Fig. 1B shows the same object as in Fig. 1A, but during TGF stimulation. Figure 1C is the image acquired from the same object through differential interference contrast optics, and Fig. 1D shows the sampled data from each region of interest in Fig. 1A.

When the fluid used to perfuse the tubule had its NaCl concentration changed from 10 to 80 mM, each of the three afferent arterioles showed a loss of fluorescence intensity that returned to baseline after the NaCl concentration in the perfusate was returned to 10 mM. The intensity loss was greatest in the arteriole whose macula densa was stimulated and declined with distance from that point, confirming the results of Wagner et al. (35) and Chen et al. (4). Each arteriole also constricted during the period of TGF stimulation. The differential interference contrast images showed that all elements of the preparation remained in focus throughout the sampling period. Although the distance along the arterioles from the first to the third regions of interest was 200 μm, a time lag between them for the depolarization could not be detected. Similar results were obtained in preparations from four mice. The results are consistent with the hypothesis that TGF activation...
by increased NaCl concentration at the macula densa produces membrane depolarization in vascular smooth muscle cells; that the activation is propagated decrementally along the contiguous vasculature; that each of the visualized segments contracted; and that the depolarization was effectively instantaneous in all segments that could be visualized.

"Estimation of nephron-to-nephron coupling strength." Wagner et al. (35) stimulated vasoconstriction in single afferent arterioles in the perfused rat juxtamedullary preparation to measure the magnitude of the propagated response. They stimulated one arteriole near its glomerular end by superfusing a 300 mM KCl-KBr solution over the external surface of the arteriole for 1–5 s and measured vessel diameter at different sites in the stimulated arteriole, in other arterioles, and in the cortical radial artery from which they all derived. The KCl superfusion produced propagated vasoconstriction. The greatest fractional change in diameter occurred at the superfusion site, and the fractional vasoconstriction decreased with distance from the superfusion site. The data set was fitted with the exponential function \( y = Ae^{-kx} \), where \( x \) is the distance from the stimulation site to the upstream measurement site, \( y \) is the diameter change at the distant measurement site, and \( A \) is the diameter change at the stimulation site, and \( k \) is the inverse of the length constant \( (k = 1/\lambda) \).

We used the two-nephron model to estimate the conductance needed to achieve the same fractional diameter change as Wagner et al. (35). We simulated the injection of a current pulse of 3 pA for 2 s in the segment of one afferent arteriole closest to the glomerulus. Diameter change was calculated for the arteriolar segments closest to the twoglomeruli.

Because the animal experiments were performed using arterioles not showing TGF oscillations, we clamped the value of \( \theta \), the action of TGF on the afferent arteriole. Typically, \( \theta \) varies over a maximum range –0.4 to 0.4 during single TGF oscillation when the amplitude of the oscillation is large, which can occur for example at increased blood pressure. At a blood pressure of 100 mmHg, \( \theta \) varies from –0.4 to 0.2. We set \( \theta \) to an arbitrarily selected constant value of –0.25 mmHg·min⁻¹·ml⁻¹, which clamps TGF action at a level equivalent to that produced by a NaCl concentration at the macula densa of 35.7 mM.

The coupling of the nephrons introduces two new parameters, the conductance between the nephrons and the cortical radial artery which is length dependent, and the conductance of the more proximal parts of the renal vasculature to ground. Nordsletten et al. (24) used CT scanning to estimate the lengths, diameters, and numbers of all renal vessels. The average lengths of afferent arterioles they measured was 0.37 mm. The model has two segments of the afferent arteriole connected electrically: the segment closer to the glomerulus is one-third the total length of the arteriole. The segment farther from the glomerulus delivers current to a node connecting the arterioles of the two nephrons. For purposes of the model, therefore, we took the point from which the signal was generated as the border between the two segments in each afferent arteriole so that the distance to the node connecting the two nephrons was 0.245 mm, and the total distance for signal propagation is twice that value, 0.49 mm, under the assumption that the arterioles are identical.

Using the values for the \( y \)-intercept, \( A \), and the constant \( k \) found by Wagner et al. (35), the ratio \( y/A \) at a length of 0.49 mm is 0.24. The ability of the model to achieve this fractional change in diameter of the distal segments of the two nephrons was used as the basis for selecting a value of the internephron coupling conductance.

From the fractional diameter change over a length of 0.49 mm, we calculated the value of the constant \( k \) in each simulation and compared it with the experimentally measured value of \( 2.9 \pm 0.5 \) mm⁻¹. Table 1 compares the effect of changes in the internephron conductance, \( g_{IN} \), with the conductance to ground, \( g_0 \), held constant, and Table 2 the effect of changes in \( g_0 \) with \( g_{IN} \) held constant. The value of \( g_{IN} \) that provides the best agreement with the measured value of the constant \( k \) is 2.0 pS with \( g_0 \) at 2.5 × 10⁻³ pS, although all values of \( g_{IN} \) in Table 2 fall within the range of \( \pm 1 \) SE of the experimentally measured values. Variation in \( g_{IN} \) had a smaller fractional effect on \( k \) than did variation in \( g_0 \). All further simulations were performed with \( g_{IN} = 2.0 \) pS and \( g_0 = 2.5 \times 10⁻³ \) pS.

"Synchronization of nephron oscillations." To detect synchronization it is necessary to show either that the frequencies change from their native values as a result of coupling, or that phase coupling occurs, or both (27). In the simulations to be described, the results are made sufficiently clear with frequency shifts.

To show synchronization, we must start with nephrons that oscillate at different frequencies and that are therefore capable of changing frequency in response to coupling. We modified the model to simulate nephrons of different lengths. The model was originally developed to simulate cortical nephrons of constant length. Medullary nephrons are longer because of the addition of descending and thin ascending limbs of Henle’s loop. Table 3 shows the effect of the additional segments on the predicted period lengths and spectral power due to TGF. We have conducted these simulations under the assumption that nephrons of all lengths have both TGF and the myogenic mechanism in place, and that these two oscillations synchronize within each nephron. To achieve this synchronization, we adjusted the value of \( \phi \), a parameter that adjusts \( K⁺ \) permeability in Eq. A20. Synchronization of TGF and myogenic oscillations has thus far been shown experimentally only in cortical nephrons (33). The ratio of myogenic to TGF frequencies in experimental measurements varies in discrete steps from 4:1 to 6:1; the largest fraction was at 5:1 (33). We started with the value of \( \phi \) that achieves 5:1 synchronization in cortical nephrons and adjusted it to achieve the same synchronization ratio in longer nephrons.

As can be seen in Table 3, nephrons made longer by addition of inner medullary loop of Henle segments have larger and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( g_{IN} ), pS</th>
<th>( k ), mm⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All simulations were performed with \( g_0 \), the conductance to ground, at 2.5 × 10⁻³ pS and blood pressure set at 100 mmHg.
slower oscillations. The period length increases with tubule length, and so does the amplitude of the TGF oscillation.

Figure 2 shows the predicted renal plasma flow rate as a function of time in two nephrons, one with a descending limb 3 mm long, with the other at 4 mm. In Fig. 2A, the nephrons are not coupled. The shorter nephron has a smaller, faster TGF oscillation than the other, and the effect of the myogenic oscillation is seen as five deflections on the TGF oscillation. The longer nephron also shows five deflections due to the myogenic oscillation. The oscillations of the two nephrons are not synchronized, as shown by the shift in time between the peaks in the two oscillations, which reflects the fact that the phase angle difference between the nephrons changes with time.

Figure 2B shows the renal plasma flow rate as a function of time in the same two nephron models, but this time coupled by vascular electrical conductances. The two oscillations in each nephron of the pair now are synchronized with each other: TGF with TGF and myogenic with myogenic. The TGF/myogenic ratio remains 5:1 in both nephrons. The phase angles between both pairs of oscillations are 0 and do not vary with time. The frequencies in both the TGF and the myogenic oscillations of each nephron changed from their values in the uncoupled state. These results satisfy the criteria for synchronization (27). The amplitudes of the TGF oscillation (defined as the square root of the TGF spectral power; see Table 5) in the two nephrons also changed with synchronization, increasing in the shorter nephron and decreasing in the longer. The amplitudes of the TGF oscillations in the two nephrons tended to converge with synchronization but did not become equal.

Tables 4 and 5 present the results of simulations among nephrons with descending limbs ranging in length from 2.75 to 4 mm, at 0.25-mm increments. In all cases, the coupling led to synchronization of both the TGF and the myogenic oscillations. In all cases, the myogenic:TGF coupling remained at 5:1.

Table 2. Effect of variation of $g_0$, the conductance to ground, on the predicted value of $k$, the inverse of the length constant, in afferent arterioles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$g_0$, mm$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$k$, mm$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All simulations were performed with $g_0$ held constant at 2.0 pS and blood pressure set at 100 mmHg.

In all cases, the amplitudes of the TGF oscillations, shown as spectral power within the frequency range 0.01–0.05 Hz, changed as a result of the coupling. We could not, however, deduce a rule governing the redistribution of spectral power between nephrons as a result of coupling.

Synchronization and stability of myogenic:TGF coupling. We next asked whether synchronization changes the stability of the model performance. We defined stability as the range of values of some parameter over which no change occurs in the solution of the model equations. We further defined no change to mean that the TGF activity remains a limit cycle in each nephron and synchronization of the TGF with the myogenic oscillation remains at 5:1. A particular case in point is the range of values of the parameter $\varphi_m$, which appears in Eq. A20. Adjustments in the value of this parameter permit changes in the frequency of the myogenic oscillation so that the ratio of...
the TGF:myogenic frequencies can be set to 5:1. In this set of simulations, we paired nephrons of identical lengths; in one nephron, \( \varphi_n \) was increased to find the maximum value while in the other \( \varphi_n \) was decreased to find the minimum. Each simulation was therefore conducted to find the maximum range of \( \varphi_n \) that would produce limit cycle TGF oscillations in both nephrons and a 5:1 ratio of the myogenic:TGF oscillations in each.

Figure 3 shows the range of values for \( \varphi_n \) that enable the system solutions to remain stable. The range is shown for single and paired nephrons as a function of the length of the descending limb of Henle’s loop. The values of \( \varphi_n \) are presented as \( 2(\varphi_{n,\text{max}} - \varphi_{n,\text{min}})/(\varphi_{n,\text{max}} + \varphi_{n,\text{min}}) \), where \( \varphi_{n,\text{max}} \) and \( \varphi_{n,\text{min}} \) are the maxima and minima, respectively, of the values of \( \varphi_n \) that confer stability, defined as persistence of limit cycle oscillations in both nephrons of the pair, and 5:1 myogenic:TGF oscillations in each. This form shows the parameter range divided by the average of those parameter values, for each length. The normalization is useful for comparison purposes because the value of \( (\varphi_{n,\text{max}} + \varphi_{n,\text{min}})/2 \) varies as a function of tubule length.

The figure shows that the stable range in both sets of simulations varies with the length of the descending limb. At all lengths, the stable range is greater in the simulations of coupled nephrons than with the single-nephron simulations. The effect is greatest in the shortest nephron (by a factor of 13.4) and least in the longest nephron (factor of 2.0). The results indicate that coupling two nephrons increases the stability of the system.

### Table 4. Simulation results: effect of nephron length on period length in coupled nephron pairs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nephron 2 DLH Length, mm</th>
<th>Nephron 1 DLH Length, mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The axes are the length of the descending limb (mm); the elements in the table are period length (s).

### Table 5. Simulation results: effect of nephron length on spectral power of the transforming growth factor oscillation in plasma flow rate in coupled nephron pairs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nephron 2 DLH Length, mm</th>
<th>Nephron 1 DLH Length, mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The axes are length of the descending limb (mm); the elements in the table are spectral power (nl/min²).
of a self-sustained oscillation requires that we examine the possibility of regenerative propagation of action potentials. A regenerative process would maintain the level of depolarization throughout the length of the blood vessel. Our results are compatible with simple electrotonic current conduction. The conclusion is important for the simulation of synchronization. If the depolarization does not decline with distance, and if it is relatively slow, it would be necessary to simulate the membrane currents in each cell, a process that would increase the complexity of the arteriolar model. The fact that the depolarization in the renal afferent arteriole declines with distance and is relatively fast justifies the use of a simple ohmic network to account for the propagation events among the arterioles.

We then used two separate nephron models (21), each coupled by a conductance to a common electrical node. The node also had a connection to ground. The combined model was solved numerically. The model that simulates the TGF oscillation is based on the assumption that the negative feedback structure is the only source of the oscillation (11, 12). Recently, sustained oscillations in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) have been reported in podocytes and in cells of the cortical thick ascending limb epithelium (16, 26). The Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations have frequencies similar to those found for tubular pressure and flow, but their functional significance remains to be established.

Because there was a frequency adjustment to coupling in the TGF oscillation as a result of coupling, there must have been similar adjustments in the myogenic oscillation. The simulation results suggest that the estimated internephron conductance is sufficient to account for nephron synchronization. Pitman et al. (28) have simulated two coupled nephrons with variable time delays and found that the limit cycle oscillation persisted in both nephrons; theirs is a simpler nephron, with no myogenic mechanism.

In our earlier study (21), we used \(\varphi_n\) to control the oscillation frequency of the myogenic mechanism. In the afferent arteriolar model, the only membrane processes that participate in the myogenic oscillation are the K\(^+\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) currents. The Ca\(^{2+}\) current can also be used to vary the timing of the myogenic oscillation, but because Ca\(^{2+}\) also determines myosin light chain phosphorylation, the response to a change in its conductance is complex. The response to variation of \(\varphi_n\) is limited to its effect on the oscillation frequency, an advantage in this context, and the reason for its selection. In the current study, using the single-nephron model with shorter nephrons, \(\varphi_n\) can be varied only over a range of a few percentage points of the average of the minimum and maximum values to provide 5:1 coupling. The range increases in the longer nephrons, probably because the amplitude of the TGF oscillation increases with nephron length, which should provide a stronger effect of TGF on the myogenic oscillation. For the simulations, this narrow range creates no difficulty, but in vivo one could imagine that the TGF and myogenic oscillations could often become unsynchronized; experimental results show that the desynchronization is uncommon in normal rats (33). We therefore examined the effect of variation of \(\varphi_n\) in coupled nephron pairs. The effect of coupling two nephrons was to extend the range of values of \(\varphi_n\) that would sustain self-sustained TGF oscillations coupled 5:1 to the myogenic oscillations in the same nephrons. As can be seen in Fig. 3, the effect of coupling was to extend the range of \(\varphi_n\) values by as much as 13-fold in the shortest nephrons and 2-fold in the longest. If we define stability as the persistence of a self-sustained TGF oscillation coupled 5:1 to the myogenic oscillation, coupling increases the stability of the system with respect to the value of \(\varphi_n\). Loss of stability in this system leads primarily to a bifurcation to quasiperiodicity, period doubling, or deterministic chaos in TGF dynamics. Both the TGF and the myogenic oscillations remain synchronized in the two nephrons, but the destabilization is revealed as a loss of the self-sustained oscillation of TGF. Quasiperiodicity is less stable than a self-sustained oscillation and can lead to the development of chaos, and period doubling is a precursor to chaos (25).

In the two-nephron simulations using different values of \(\varphi_n\), all other parameters of the system were left unchanged. Variation of \(\varphi_n\) in the model is one parameter that determines the frequency of the myogenic oscillation. The interaction with the TGF oscillation then establishes 5:1 synchronization. The stability limits indicate that the interactions between the two oscillations can only succeed in establishing synchronization over some range of the interactions, and not under all circumstances.

Limits of this sort are well known in theoretical studies of nonlinear oscillators (27), but it is worthwhile to explore their physiological implications in the kidney. Elsewhere, we suggested that because both the TGF and the myogenic oscillations are self-sustained, the systems generating them must be nonlinear (21). The interaction between nonlinear oscillators can give rise to several effects, including frequency and am-
plitude modulation of one oscillation by the other, synchronization of the oscillators, and bifurcations to chaos. We have shown frequency and amplitude modulation of the myogenic oscillation by TGF in experimental results (23), and TGF-myogenic synchronization in individual nephrons, synchronization of the TGF oscillations in two nephrons, and synchronization of the myogenic oscillations in the same two nephrons (33). We have also suggested that amplitude modulation of the myogenic oscillation by TGF could provide coordination of the actions of these two systems in response to fluctuations of arterial blood pressure (23). Coordination would be useful in permitting autoregulation to achieve an effective unified response to these fluctuations.

The dynamics of renal autoregulation in hypertensive rats are different from those in normotensive animals: TGF fluctuations are irregular, and there are infrequent synchronization of the myogenic mechanism with TGF; infrequent synchronization of TGF fluctuations in the paired nephrons; and infrequent synchronization of myogenic fluctuations in the paired nephrons (5, 6, 29, 33). If our formulation of the physiological implications of modulation in normotensive animals is correct, it follows that nephron coupling stabilizes the modulation and helps to ensure the coordinated action of TGF and the myogenic mechanism. The lack of synchronization effects in hypertensive rats then leads to the implication that coordination is less effective in hypertension, because of altered interactions between TGF and the myogenic mechanism. In the simulations presented in this paper, the stability was reduced by varying the dynamics of $K^+$ channels in arteriolar smooth muscle cells. Whether $K^+$ channel dynamics are altered in arterioles of hypertensive rats must be determined experimentally, but there are very likely other parameter variations that could produce similar results.

The available data showing synchronization were collected from nephron pairs on the surface of the rat kidney (32, 33). The cortical radial artery typically supplies 20 nephrons of different tubule lengths (3), and the possibility needs to be considered that the synchronization spreads to more than a single pair. Electrotonic vascular signaling can propagate to nearby pairs or triplets of nephrons. The propagation of the electronic signals will be reinforced by the recruitment of single small groups of nephrons, and this process should be able to propagate for some distance, possibly including all the nephrons derived from that cortical radial artery. In addition, the synchronization of the terminal nephron pair will induce an oscillation of blood flow in the cortical radial artery, which will reinforce the electrically induced synchronization.

In a previous study, we have simulated a 22-nephron cluster derived from one cortical radial artery. The simulated nephrons included a majority of cortical nephrons and smaller numbers of medullary nephrons (22). The model used to simulate each nephron (1) was much simpler than the one used in this study, had no myogenic mechanism, and modeled coupling phenomenologically, without specifying a mechanism. That study predicted extensive synchronization and an oscillation in blood flow in the cortical radial artery. The oscillations of cortical nephrons synchronized among themselves but not with medullary nephrons. The failed synchronization led to different dynamic patterns, including oscillations, quasiperiodicity, and chaos. That model predicted that the strength of the coupling between nephrons could determine whether these different patterns emerged. The results of the current study suggest that the coupling of TGF with the myogenic mechanism stabilizes the oscillations of each. Whether coupling has a similar effect when additional nephrons are included remains to be established.

**Glossary**

**Subscripts**

- A Afferent arteriole
- E Efferent arteriole
- GC Glomerular capillary
- IN Interneuron
- I Interstitial
- S NaCl
- T Renal tubule

**Independent Variables**

- $t$ Time, s
- $z$ Tubule position, cm
- $z_{GC}$ Glomerular capillary position, cm

**Dependent Tubular Variables**

- $C(z,t)$ NaCl concentration, mM
- $P(z,t)$ Pressure, mmHg
- $Q(z,t)$ Flow, cm$^3$/s

**Dependent Glomerular Capillary Variable**

- $C(z_{GC})$ Glomerular capillary protein concentration, g/l

**Auxiliary Variables**

- $J(z,t)$ Solute (mmol/min) or volume (nl/min) flux
- $R(t)$ Vascular resistance, dyn·s·cm$^{-5}$
- $r(z,t)$ Tubular radius, cm

**Dependent Arteriolar Variables**

- $C_a(C_a,m_{o,v},n,t)$ Intracellular Ca concentration, mM
- $n(v,C_a,n,t)$ Fraction of open K channels
- $v(C_a,n,t)$ Membrane electrical potential difference, mV
- $\lambda(x,P_A,t)$ Length of parallel elastic element, cm
- $y(y,\xi,\omega,t)$ Length of the contractile element, cm
- $\omega(\omega,\xi,t)$ Fraction of actual: possible actin-myosin cross bridges

**Auxiliary Arteriolar Variables**

- $m_{o,v}(v)$ Equilibrium distribution of Ca open-channel states
- $n_{o,v}(v,C_a)$ Equilibrium distribution of K open-channel states
- $u(x,y)$ Length of series elastic component, cm
- $\xi(C_a)$ Fraction of phosphorylated myosin light chain
APPENDIX

TGF: Tubule Model

Tubule model. The equations describing pressure, \( P_t \), and flow, \( Q_t \), for a noncompressible Newtonian fluid in a compliant, reabsorbing tubule at low Reynolds number are

\[
\frac{\partial P_t}{\partial z} = -\frac{\rho}{\pi r^2} \frac{\partial Q_t}{\partial t} = -\frac{8\eta}{\pi r^2} Q_t, \quad 0 \leq z \leq Z, t \geq 0 \tag{A1}
\]

and

\[
\frac{\partial Q_t}{\partial z} = -2\pi r \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} \frac{\partial P_t}{\partial r} - J_s(z), \quad 0 \leq z \leq Z, t \geq 0 \tag{A2}
\]

where \( \rho \) is the fluid density and \( \eta \) is the viscosity.

The boundary conditions for Eqs. A1 and A2 are the tubular inflow rate, \( Q_t(0) \), as calculated from the equations of the glomerular model, and the tubular outlet pressure, which is estimated from experimental measurements

\[
P_t(z) = \frac{Q_t(Z)}{(\alpha_1 P_t(z) + \beta z)} \tag{A3}
\]

The proximal tubule, the descending and the ascending limbs of the loop of Henle are each represented separately.

Proximal tubular fluid reabsorption, \( J_s \), is

\[
J_s = \kappa \exp(-\theta z) \tag{A4}
\]

For the descending limb of Henle’s loop, \( J_s \) is a function of the transtubular gradient of solute

\[
J_s = L_s(C_s - C_i) \tag{A5}
\]

To reduce the number of constants, \( L_s \) is defined here to include the universal gas constant and the absolute temperature, and is defined as mol\(^{-1}\)s\(^{-1}\).

In the ascending limb of the Henle’s loop, volume reabsorption is 0.

Tubular radius is expressed as a linear function of transtubular pressure

\[
r(z) = \gamma(P_t(z) - P_i) + r_0 \tag{A6}
\]

NaCl is treated as a single solute, \( s \). For a solute \( s \) in a reabsorbing tubule with an axial flow, \( Q_t \), mass balance requires that

\[
\frac{\partial AC_s}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial Q_s C_s}{\partial z} + J_z \tag{A7}
\]

where \( A \) is the tubule cross-sectional area, and \( C_s \) is the solute concentration.

The proximal tubule reabsorbs tubular fluid isosmotically. NaCl is the principal osmotically active component, \( C_s \) is assumed to be constant in that segment, and Eq. A7 is solved only for the loop of Henle. For the initial condition, \( C_s \) is set equal to the interstitial concentration, 150 mM, at the beginning of the descending limb. \( J_s \) is specified as a passive transport term in the descending limb of Henle’s loop, and as a sum of a passive transport term and an active term following Michaelis-Menten kinetics in the ascending limb of Henle’s loop.

Thus

\[
J_s = L_s(C_s - C_i) + \frac{V_{\text{max}} C_s}{K_m + C_s} \tag{A8}
\]

where \( V_{\text{max}} = 0 \) for the descending limb, since there is no active transport in that segment.

The interstitial NaCl concentration, \( C_i \), varies linearly from 150 mM at the corticomedullary boundary to 285 mM at the border between the inner and outer medulla, and by \( C_f(z^* + z_{DLH}) = 285 + 20\tan(0.23(z^* - z_{DLH})) \) thereafter; \( z_{DLH} \) is the length of the tubule from glomerulus to the bend of Henle’s loop of cortical nephrons divided by the spatial step size, and \( z^* \) is the distance from the glomerulus in the additional length of descending limb, divided by the spatial step size. For the cortical thick ascending limb of the loop of Henle, \( C_i \) was set to 150 mM.

TGF: afferent arteriolar model. The action of tubuloglomerular feedback is described by a logistic equation

\[
\dot{\theta} = \frac{\theta_{\text{max}} - \Psi}{1 + \exp[k(C_{MD}(md) - C_{1/2})]} \tag{A9}
\]

where \( \theta_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum obtainable response, \( \Psi \) is the dynamic range, \( C_{MD}(md) \) is the NaCl concentration at the macula densa, \( k \) is the feedback gain, and \( C_{1/2} \) is the NaCl concentration that gives the half-maximum response.

Glomerular model. Glomerular capillaries are impermeable to protein and glomerular filtration rate, \( Q_f(0) \), is therefore

\[
Q_f(0) = \left( \frac{1 - \frac{C_A}{C_E}}{Q_A} \right) Q_A \tag{A10}
\]

The plasma flow, \( Q_A \), to the glomerular capillaries is

\[
Q_A = \frac{(P_A - P_{GC})}{R_A} \tag{A11}
\]

Glomerular capillary hydrostatic pressure, \( P_{GC} \), is

\[
P_{GC} = R_e \left[ \frac{Q_A}{1 - H_{T_A}} - Q_f(0) \right] + \frac{Q_A R_e}{1 - H_{T_A}} \tag{A12}
\]

which is obtained by assuming that afferent blood flow less the glomerular filtrate rate, \( Q_f(0) \), passes through the efferent arteriole and that thereafter the tubular reabsorbate is added to the efferent blood flow, which then passes through a distal resistance, \( R_s \), to the venous compartment, in which the hydrostatic pressure is assumed to be zero.

The filtration process that causes the change in protein concentration is proportional to the sum of local hydrostatic and oncotic pressure differences

\[
\frac{dC}{d_{\text{GC}}} = \frac{K_t}{L Q_{\text{GC}} A_c} C \left[ P_{GC} - P_f(0) - ||(C) | \right] \tag{A13}
\]

where \( d_{\text{GC}} \) is the fractional position along the glomerular capillary, \( K_t \) is the filtration coefficient, and \( L \) is the length of an idealized glomerular capillary. The initial condition, \( P_{GC}(0) \), is derived from the arterial pressure and the calculation of afferent arteriolar vascular resistance, as detailed below.

The plasma oncotic pressure, \( \Pi(C) \), is found from

\[
\Pi(C) = a_{gc} C + b_{gc} C^2 \tag{A14}
\]

according to Deen et al. (9).

Myogenic Model

The preglomerular vascular bed is modeled as three segments in series. The first segment represents the larger renal vessels which do not contribute to autoregulation, and whose resistance \( R_{A1} \) is therefore assumed to be constant. The second and third segments, which are downstream from the first, each have a variable resistance under the control of both the myogenic mechanism and TGF. The resistance in these segments is given as

\[
R_{A,j} = \frac{A_j}{r_j}, \quad j = 2, 3 \tag{A15}
\]

where \( A_j \) combines the segment’s length and the blood viscosity.
Each of the two arteriolar segments is modeled separately as a set of six ordinary differential equations and associated constitutive relationships.  

Transport of ions and membrane potential. The equilibrium distribution of Ca open-channel states, $m_{s,j}$, as a function of the membrane voltage, $v_j$, of the arteriolar segment $j$ is

$$m_{s,j}(v_j) = 0.5 \left( 1 + \tanh \frac{v_j - v_{1,j}}{v_2} \right), \quad j = 2, 3 \quad (A16)$$

where $v_1$ is the voltage at which half the channels are open, $v_2$ is a measure of the spread of the distribution, and $j$ are the second and third arteriolar segments. This equation is intended to represent a mixture of L- and T-type Ca channels.

For K channels, the distribution is

$$n_{s,j}(v_j, Ca) = 0.5 \left( 1 + \tanh \frac{v_j - v_{1,j}}{v_4} \right), \quad j = 2, 3 \quad (A17)$$

where

$$v_{1,j} = - \frac{v_4}{2} \tanh \frac{Ca_{\text{leak}} - Ca_{\text{IC}}}{Ca_{\text{leak}}} + v_{\text{ref}}, \quad j = 2, 3 \quad (A18)$$

Equation A18 provides a Ca-dependent shift in the distribution of K open states with respect to membrane voltage. The time course of the fraction of open K channels, $n$, is given by

$$\frac{dn_j}{dt} = \lambda(v_j)(n_{s,j}(v_j, Ca_{\text{leak}}) - n_j), \quad j = 2, 3 \quad (A19)$$

where

$$\lambda(v_j) = \phi_n \cosh \frac{v_j - v_{1,j}}{2v_4} \quad j = 2, 3$$

The rate of change of the membrane potential is related to membrane currents by

$$C_A \frac{dv_j}{dt} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} - I_{L,j} - I_{K,j} - I_{Ca,j} - I_{IC} - I_{IN}, & j = 2, 3 \\ - I_{L,j} - I_{K,j} - I_{Ca,j} - I_{IC} & \end{array} \right. \quad (A21)$$

where $C_A$ is membrane capacitance, and $I_{L,j}$, $I_{K,j}$, $I_{Ca,j}$ are the leak, potassium, and calcium currents of the jth arteriolar segment, respectively. $I_{IC}$ is intercellular current, and $I_{IN}$ is inter nephron current. Assuming an ohmic voltage-current relationship

$$C_A \frac{dv_j}{dt} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} - g_L(v_j - v_L) - g_K n_j(v_j - v_K) - g_{Ca,j} m_{s,j}(v_j - v_{Ca}) & \end{array} \right. \quad j = 2, 3 \quad (A22)$$

Applying Kirchhoff’s first law, $\Sigma I = 0$, to the node yields

$$v_{\text{node}} = \frac{g_{IN}(v_{21} + v_{22})}{(2g_{IN} - g_0)} \quad (A23)$$

where $g_L$, $g_K$, $g_{Ca,j}$, and $g_{IN}$ are the whole-cell membrane conductances for the leak, K, Ca, intercellular, and inter nephron currents, respectively. $v_{21}$, $v_{22}$, and $v_{\text{Ca}}$ are the corresponding Nernst potentials, and $g_{Ca,j} = (1 + \zeta_j g_{Ca,j} \bar{g}_j \zeta_j$ is the input from TGF to the jth arteriolar segment, and $\zeta_j$ is the TGF myogenic coupling parameter. The coefficient $g_{Ca,j}$ therefore represents the membrane Ca conductance coupled to TGF in the jth segment. In the experiments reported in this study and previous ones (21, 23), $\zeta_j = \zeta_j/3$ The TGF input, $\bar{g}_j$, varies approximately symmetrically about 0. The rate of change of total Ca concentration in the cytosol is given by

$$\frac{dCa_{\text{IC}}}{dt} = -\alpha_a g_{Ca,j}(v_j - v_{Ca}) - k_{Ca}Ca_{\text{IC},j} \quad (A24)$$

where $\alpha_a = 1/2B_v F \eta Ca_{\text{IC}}$ is the fraction of the total cell volume occupied by the cytosol, $V_{\text{cell}}$ is the total cell volume, $F$ is Faraday’s constant, and $k_{Ca}$ is the first-order rate constant for Ca removal from the cytosol. By assuming that cytosolic free Ca is in equilibrium with various Ca buffers whose total concentration is $b_A$, one may arrive at

$$\frac{dCa_{\text{IC,j}}}{dt} = -\alpha_a g_{Ca,j}(v_j - v_{Ca}) - k_{Ca}Ca_{\text{IC,j}} \quad (A25)$$

where

$$\sigma_j = \frac{(K_a + Ca_{\text{IC,j}})^2}{(K_a + Ca_{\text{IC,j}})^2 + K_a b_A} \quad (A26)$$

Myosin light chain phosphorylation. Activation of smooth muscle is assumed to occur in response to Ca-dependent phosphorylation of myosin light chain. One assumes that the kinetics of phosphorylation are rapid compared with the time scale of the vascular events, and defines $\xi_j$ as the fraction of myosin light chain sites that are phosphorylated.

$$\xi_j = \frac{Ca_{\text{IC,j}}}{Ca_{\text{leak}} + Ca_{\text{IC,j}}} \quad j = 2, 3 \quad (A27)$$

We describe the relationship between phosphorylated light myosin and cross bridge formation phenomenologically in terms of a binding distribution. Letting $\omega_j$ represent the fraction formed of the total possible cross bridges in the jth arteriolar segment, we arrive at the expression

$$\frac{d\omega_j}{dt} = k_1 \left( \xi_j - \omega_j \right), \quad j = 2, 3 \quad (A28)$$

Wall stress and contraction velocity. The contractile mechanism has length $y$, the series elastic component length $u$, and the parallel elastic component length $x = u + y$. The stresses $\sigma$ normal to the surface of a longitudinal slice, $SA$, through the vessel wall and associated with each element are

$$\sigma_x = x_1 \left( 1 + \tanh \frac{x^* - x_1}{x_2} \right) + x_2 (x^* - x_1) - x_2^2 (x^* - x_1)^2 \quad (A29)$$

$$\sigma_u = 2 \exp(u_2 u_3 x_0) - u_3 \quad (A30)$$

and

$$\sigma_j = \frac{\omega_j}{\omega_{ref}} \exp \left( - \frac{(y/\xi_0 - y_0)^2}{2(y/\xi_0)} - y \right) \quad (A31)$$

where $x^* = x/\xi_0$, $x_0$ is a reference length, $u = (x - y)$, $s(y) = \left[ y/((y + y_2)^4) \right]^s$ and $\omega_{ref} = \omega(Ca_{\text{leak}})(\xi_j + \xi(Ca_{\text{leak}}))$ The $x_1$, $y_1$, $u_1$, $u_3$, and $y_1$, are coefficients used to fit the various expressions to data from the literature.

The force-velocity relationship for the contractile mechanism is given by

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = -x_0 \frac{\xi_j}{\xi_{ref}} \frac{1 - \sigma_x}{\sigma_{ref}} \frac{\sigma_x}{\sigma_j} \quad 0 \leq \sigma_x \leq 1, \frac{\sigma_x}{\sigma_j} \quad (A32)$$

or

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = x_0 c_A \left( \exp \left( b_A \left( \frac{\sigma_x}{\sigma_j} - d_A \right) \right) - \exp(b_A (1 - d_A)) \right), \quad 1 \leq \frac{\sigma_x}{\sigma_j} \quad (A32)$$

where $x_0$, $a_A$, $b_A$, $c_A$, and $d_A$ are constants.
The hoop forces $f$ acting on a longitudinal section $S$ of the blood vessel wall are given by

$$ f_w = \frac{1}{2} \Delta P \left( \frac{x - A}{x} \right), \quad x > \sqrt{\pi A} $$

where $\Delta P$ is the transmural pressure difference between the vascular wall and the interstitial space, and $A$ is the cross-sectional area of the vascular smooth muscle cells.

$$ f_i = w_c S \sigma - \sigma_0 $$

$$ f_o = w_m S \sigma - \sigma_0 $$

where $w_c$ and $w_m$ are weighting factors, and $\sigma_0$ is a reference stress.

The rate of change of the circumference $x$ is given by

$$ \frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{1}{\tau} \left( f_w - f_i - f_o \right) $$

subject to the geometric compatibility condition

$$ x = u + y $$
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