Loss of GSTM1, a NRF2 target, is associated with accelerated progression of hypertensive kidney disease in the African American Study of Kidney Disease (AASK)
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Chang J, Ma JZ, Zeng Q, Cechova S, Gantz A, Nievergelt C, O’Connor D, Lipkowitz M, Le TH. Loss of GSTM1, a NRF2 target, is associated with accelerated progression of hypertensive kidney disease in the African American Study of Kidney Disease (AASK). Am J Physiol Renal Physiol 304: F348–F355, 2013. First published December 5, 2012; doi:10.1152/ajprenal.00568.2012.—Oxidative stress is acknowledged to play a role in kidney disease progression. Genetic variants that affect the capacity to handle oxidative stress may therefore influence the outcome of kidney disease. We examined whether genetic variants of the GSTM1 gene, a member of a superfamily of glutathione S-transferases, influence the course of kidney disease progression in participants of the African American Study of Kidney Disease (AASK) trial. Groups with and without the common GSTM1 null allele, GSTM1(0), differed significantly in the time to a glomerular filtration rate (GFR) event or dialysis (P = 0.04) and in the time to GFR event, dialysis, or death (P = 0.02). The hazard ratios (HR) for the time to a GFR event or dialysis in those with two or one null allele relative to those possessing none were 1.88 [95% confidence interval (CI), 1.07 to 3.30, P = 0.03] and 1.68 (95% CI, 1.00 to 2.84, P < 0.05), respectively. For the time to GFR event, dialysis, or death, the HR for two null alleles was 2.06 (95% CI, 1.20 to 3.55, P = 0.01) and for one null allele 1.70 (95% CI, 1.02 to 2.81, P = 0.04). We demonstrated that GSTM1 directly regulates intracellular levels of 4-hydroxynonenal (4-HNE) in vascular smooth muscle cells. Furthermore, we showed that renal 4-HNE levels and GSTM1 are both increased after reduction of renal mass (RM) in the mouse. We conclude that GSTM1 is normally upregulated in chronic kidney disease (CKD) in a protective response to increased oxidative stress. A genetic variant that results in loss of GSTM1 activity may be deleterious in CKD.
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Oxidative stress is thought to play an important role in the development of many chronic diseases including cancer and atherosclerotic vascular disease. Nevertheless, even within a population with shared environmental stressors, the risk of developing these diseases varies. It thus seems likely that these varying risks are attributable to genetic differences in pathways capable of mitigating the effects of this oxidative stress. One important class of enzymes that has evolved to combat the damaging effects of reactive chemical species is the glutathione S-transferases. In particular, the μ class isomer 1 (GSTM1) has emerged as a potential modifier of multiple chronic diseases in humans. GSTM1 belongs to a super family of glutathione S-transferases that participate in the metabolism of xenobiotics and electrophilic species. In humans, a state of complete GSTM1 enzyme deficiency exists in those who are homozygous for the GSTM1 null allele, GSTM1(0), which carries a 20-kb deletion in the GSTM1 gene. The prevalence of this polymorphism varies with race, but is as high as 50% in Caucasian and Asian populations, and is ~ 27% in African Americans (11). The significance of this polymorphism was first appreciated in the oncology literature where subjects carrying the GSTM1(0) allele were found to be at higher risks of common malignancies (4, 8). Subsequently, human studies of cardiovascular disease (CVD) demonstrated that subjects who are homozygous for the GSTM1(0) allele have an increased risk of atherosclerosis (35), and coronary heart disease (34). The prevailing explanation for these findings pertains to a reduced ability to handle oxidative stress and the resultant cellular damage.

Our recent work supports such an explanation and also further implicates GSTM1 as a potential modifier of vascular injury, especially in the kidney. We previously characterized a mouse model expressing the major pathological features of human arteriolar nephrosclerosis, including medial hypertrophy and hyperplasia of the renal interlobular arteries and arterioles (21). Using microarray analysis, we identified Gstm1 as a strong candidate gene that modifies susceptibility to vascular injury. Compared with the resistant strain [129S6/SvEv (129)], the susceptible strain [C57BL/6 (B6)] has a 50% reduction in Gstm1 expression and higher levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in both kidneys and vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMCs) and has VSMCs that proliferate and migrate at a faster rate (38). The difference in Gstm1 gene expression can be explained by a difference in gene copy number. We found that the 129 strain has twice the Gstm1 gene copy number compared with the B6 strain, confirming a published report by Henrichsen et al. (15). We established cause and effect in vitro by demonstrating that small interfering (si) RNA knockdown of Gstm1 increases VSMC proliferation in a dose-dependent manner and increases VSMC migration rates and ROS levels (38).

We next queried whether GSTM1 polymorphisms may modify the course of human hypertensive nephrosclerosis. We hypothesized that patients with the GSTM1(0) allele will have an accelerated progression of kidney disease. To test this hypothesis, we examined the association between the GSTM1(0) allele and clin-
tical outcomes in a well-characterized cohort with hypertensive nephrosclerosis (HN), the African American Study of Kidney Disease and Hypertension (AASK).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Setting and Participants

AASK was a multicenter randomized clinical trial designed to test the effectiveness of three antihypertensive medications and two levels of blood pressure control on the progression of hypertensive kidney disease in a 3 × 2 factorial design (12). Details on the study design and conduct are available elsewhere (9, 37). Briefly, The AASK trial originally included 1,094 African Americans aged 18–70 yr with clinical diagnosis of HN and an I-isthmalalum glomerular filtration rate (GFR) between 20 and 65 ml·min⁻¹·1.73 m⁻² and with no other identified causes of renal insufficiency. Between February 1995 and September 1998, participants were randomly assigned to one of two mean arterial pressure (MAP) goals, 102–107 mmHg (usual; n = 554) or 92 mmHg or less (lower; n = 540) and to initial treatment with either an α-blocker (metoprolol, 50–200 mg/d; n = 441), an angioten-
sin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ramipril 2.5–10 mg/d; n = 436), or a dihydropyridine calcium channel blocker (amlodipine, 5–10 mg/d; n = 217). Open-label agents were added to achieve the assigned blood pressure goals. The amlodipine arm was halted in September 2000 based on the recommendation of the Data and Safety Monitoring Board (patients were switched to open-label medica-
tions), while the other two arms were followed up to September 2001 as planned. Beginning in 2002, 994 patients were eligible (still alive and not lost to follow-up) for the AASK Genomics Study. Of these, 850 patients consented to provide DNA samples. In this study, we were provided 731 available DNA samples for genotyping by the AASK Ancillary Study Committee. The Institutional Review Board had approved use of the DNA samples and clinical data from the AASK trial.

DNA Preparation and Genotyping

Genomic DNA was extracted according to previously published methods (3). All primers and real-time PCR reactions were performed using the sequences and method described by Girault et al. (13). As the precise amount of genomic sample DNA added to each genotyp-
ing reaction is difficult to assess, the human ALBUMBIN gene was used as a reference disomic gene to normalize the input of genomic DNA. As the ALBUMBIN and GSTM1 PCR efficiencies are similar (between 90 and 100%) (13), the GSTM1 gene dose may be expressed as the N-fold difference in the target gene relative to ALBUMIN, designated NGST = 2(Ct ALB − Ct GST), where Ct is the threshold cycle when the PCR product first appears. The resulting NGST value allows classification into three GSTM1 genotypes: two null alleles (0/0; homozygous null), one null allele (1/0; heterozygous), or no null alleles (1/1; homozygously active) (13).

Outcome Measures

Clinical outcome measures were chosen a priori and were identical to those used in the original AASK trial as these were thought to reflect important clinical endpoints. The primary analysis focused on the relationship between the time to occurrence of clinically important events and the GSTM1 genotype. Events of interest were a GFR event defined as a 50% reduction or absolute 25 ml·min⁻¹·1.73 m⁻² decline in measured GFR, initiating dialysis, a composite of a GFR event or dialysis, and a composite of a GFR event, dialysis, or death. The time to the doubling of the baseline urine protein-to-creatinine ratio (UP/C) was also analyzed.

In secondary analyses, differences in the occurrence of cardiovascular events (CVE; cardiovascular deaths and hospitalizations for myocardial infarctions, stroke, heart failure, or revascularization procedures) among the genotype groups were also explored.

Population Admixture

African Americans represent an admixed population with genetic contributions from both African and European biogeographical origins. To adjust for the potential population admixture in the AASK study and ensure that AASK participants were comparable in genetic background, our analyses were corrected for population stratification using a multidimensional scaling (MDS) approach. Specifically, individual genotypes of a panel of 126 bi-allelic markers were used to construct an identity-by-state (IBS) distance matrix (10), and the two most significant MDS components (C1 and C2) were extracted and used as covariates in adjusted analyses. Thus the observed associations would not be simply due to an artifact of differential admixture between the GSTM1 genotype groups.

Statistical Analysis

Participants’ genotypes were represented as a categorical variable with three levels: 0/0, 1/0, and 1/1. Differences between genotype groups were tested using χ² for categorical patient characteristics and using one-way ANOVA for continuous measures.

Survival probabilities for time-to-event outcomes were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method, and survival differences between genotype groups were assessed by a log-rank test. The effect of genotype on clinical outcomes was analyzed with the Cox propor-
tional hazards model with adjustment for the same set of baseline covariates used in the AASK trial: age, gender, log-transformed UP/C, MAP at baseline, and history of CVD, mean baseline GFR, antihy-
pertensive drug group, and the MDS components C1 and C2 described above. In the Cox regression analyses, the 1/1 genotype group was considered as the reference group. The assumption of propor-
tional hazards was assessed using time-dependent covariates. Statistical interaction (effect modification) between the genotype and the fol-
lowing variables were also explored: trial drug, trial blood pressure group, mean baseline GFR, and log-transformed UP/C. Participants were administratively censored for loss to follow-up or else at the end of the study or September 2000 for the participants randomized to amlodipine. The time at risk for all outcomes was the time that a participant was in the AASK trial. When clinical events not included in each composite outcome analysis occurred, participants were censored as in the original trial (37). Logistic regression was used to explore differences in CVE among the three genotype groups, adjusted for the same covariates as in the Cox regression analysis.

All analyses were performed using SAS version 9.2 (Cary, NC). Two-sided P values and 95% CIs are reported. The statistical methods used in this study parallel the analyses of clinical outcomes in the original trial (37). The sponsors had no role in the data analysis or preparation of the manuscript.

Animal Studies

Primary VSMC culture. Briefly, VSMCs from aortas of 3- to 4-wk-old wild-type C57BL/6 (Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME) and 129S6 (Taconic) mice were isolated by enzymatic digestion using collagenase (1.5 mg/ml, Sigma) while suspended in DMEM (GIBCO Labo-

ratories) containing, l-glutamine, HEPES, penicillin, and streptomycin, as previously described (38). Cells were washed and grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated calf serum, penicillin (100 U/ml), streptomycin (100 μg/ml) in 75-cm² Corning tissue culture flasks at 37°C in a humidified environment of 5% CO₂ and air.

RNA interference and cell transfection. High-performance purity grade (≥90% pure) siRNAs against Gstm1 (Gstm1 siRNA) was obtained from Ambion. siRNA, with a nonsilencing oligonucleotide sequence (nonsilencing siRNA) that does not recognize any known homology to mammalian genes, was used as a negative control (control siRNA), as previously described (38). VSMCs were seeded at a density of 5 × 10⁴ cells/well in six-well plates and grown in DMEM containing 10% FCS. One day after seeding, cells are transfected with...
Table 1. Baseline patient characteristics by genotype group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient Characteristics or Measures at Baseline</th>
<th>Homozygous Null (0/0), ( n = 186 )</th>
<th>Heterozygous (1/0), ( n = 361 )</th>
<th>Homozygous Active (1/1), ( n = 145 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, yr</td>
<td>54.9 (0.8)</td>
<td>54.0 (0.6)</td>
<td>53.9 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, % male</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>56.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of CVD, %</td>
<td>58.6*</td>
<td>47.5*</td>
<td>48.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP, mmHg</td>
<td>113.6 (1.2)</td>
<td>113.2 (0.9)</td>
<td>116.7 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFR, ml(\text{-min}^{-1}\cdot \text{1.73} \text{~m}^{-2} )</td>
<td>46.8 (0.9)</td>
<td>47.1 (0.7)</td>
<td>48.7 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine, mg/dl</td>
<td>1.96 (0.05)</td>
<td>1.98 (0.04)</td>
<td>1.92 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine protein/creatinine ratio</td>
<td>0.30 (0.48)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.52)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial antihypertensive drug</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramipril, %</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metoprolol, %</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amlodipine, %</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial blood pressure goal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP 92 mmHg, %</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP 102-107 mmHg, %</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are shown as mean (SD) for continuous measures and percentage (%) for categorical measures. CVD, cardiovascular disease; MAP, mean arterial pressure; GFR, glomerular filtration rate. The differences among genotype groups were tested with 1-way ANOVA for continuous measures and \( \chi^2 \) for categorical measures. The only significant difference at baseline is a history of CVD (* \( P = 0.04 \)).

100 pmol of control siRNA, or 100 pmol of Gstm1 siRNA using Lipofectamine NAlFect Transfection Reagent (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Seventy-two hours posttransfection, the cells were then analyzed by Western blotting.

**Overexpression of GSTM1.** Overexpression of GSTM1 in VSMCs was accomplished using a wild-type murine GSTm1 expression vector (kindly provided by Dr. Eui-Ju Choi) (6) and TransFectin reagent (Bio-Rad) as per the manufacturer’s suggestions.

**Western blotting.** The method used has been previously described (23). VSMCs were lysed in RIPA buffer with protease inhibitors. Rabbit anti-GSTM1 antibody (generous gift of Dr. John Hayes) (14) was used at 1/2,000 dilution. Rabbit anti-nuclear factor-erythroid 2 (NRF2; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and rabbit anti-4-hydroxynonenal (4-HNE; Abcam) antibodies were used at 1/1,000 dilution.

**Renal ablation model of chronic kidney disease.** All mice were bred and maintained on a 12:12-h light-dark cycle with free access to standard chow and water in the animal facility at the University of Virginia. Only male mice were used in our studies. Experiments were carried out in accordance with local and the National Institutes of Health guidelines, and the animal protocol was approved by the University of Virginia Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Reduction of renal mass (RRM) model was performed as previously reported (28) using C57BL/6 mice (Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME). Under general anesthesia, (1.5% isoflurane), the right kidney was removed, and the blood supply of the upper half of the left kidney was interrupted by ligation of the upper branch of the two main branches of the left renal artery (for subtotal nephrectomy). Western blot analyses for NRF2, GSTM1, and 4-HNE protein adducts in the branches of the left renal artery (for subtotal nephrectomy) were performed 4 wk after surgery.

**RESULTS**

**Baseline Characteristics of AASK Trial Participants**

Of the 731 DNA samples obtained, 9 were duplicates. Of the remaining 722 unique samples, 692 were successfully genotyped (95.8%). The baseline patient characteristics were similar between those with DNA samples and those without DNA samples (not shown). Of 692 participants, 186 (27%) were homozygous for the null allele, 361 had one null allele (51%), and 145 (22%) had no null allele of the GSTM1 gene. These frequencies closely match those reported by Garte et al. (11) in a large (15,000) population survey of metabolic gene polymorphisms. Baseline characteristics were not significantly different among the three genotype groups except for a history of CVD (\( P = 0.04 \), Table 1). The homozygous null group has a higher prevalence of CVD, consistent with previous observations (34, 35). Considering the trial interventions, the distribution of the genotypes among the drug and blood pressure group assignments were not significantly different (Table 1). The continuous baseline measures including mean GFR were not significantly different among the three genotype groups (\( P = 0.41 \)), nor were the two leading MDS components (\( P = 0.423 \) and 0.347, respectively).

**Time-to-Event Analysis**

GSTM1(0) is associated with a worse composite outcome. Table 2 shows the Cox regression results for the composite outcome of a GFR event, dialysis, or death. In these 692 participants, the baseline GFR and UP/C were significantly associated with increased risks of the composite outcome. These results are similar to the findings from the original trial (37), reassuring that this smaller cohort is representative of the whole trial cohort. The GSTM1 genotype groups differed significantly in the time to the composite outcome of a GFR event, dialysis, or death. The results are shown as hazard ratios (95% CI).
event, dialysis, or death (Fig. 1, log-rank, \( P = 0.015 \)). At 5 yr, the number of participants reaching this composite endpoint were 51/186 (27.4%) in the 0/0 group, 80/361 (22.1%) in the 1/0 group, and 20/145 (13.8%) in the 1/1 group. The adjusted hazard ratio (HR) for the 0/0 group is 2.03 (95% CI, 1.17–3.53, \( P = 0.012 \)) and for 1/0 group 1.71 (95% CI, 1.03–2.85, \( P = 0.039 \)) relative to the 1/1 group. Additionally, for the time to the composite outcome of a GFR event or dialysis, the genotype groups did differ significantly (Fig. 2, \( P = 0.041 \)). At 5 yr, the number of participants reaching this composite endpoint were 45/186 (24.1%) in the 0/0 group, 77/361 (21.3%) in the 1/0 group, and 20/145 (13.8%) in the 1/1 group. The adjusted HR for 0/0 or 1/0 are 1.82 (95% CI, 1.03–3.23, \( P = 0.041 \)) and 1.70 (95% CI, 1.00–2.87, \( P = 0.039 \)) relative to the 1/1 group. Additionally, for the time to dialysis only, the genotype groups did not differ significantly (Fig. 2, \( P = 0.154 \)). The adjusted HR for 0/0 or 1/0 are 2.42 (95% CI, 1.10–5.32, \( P = 0.028 \)) and 1.53 (95% CI, 0.74–3.17, \( P = 0.255 \)), respectively. Finally, the time to a GFR event only was not significantly different among groups (\( P = 0.096 \)). The adjusted HR for 0/0 or 1/0 individuals were 1.65 (95% CI, 0.86–3.17, \( P = 0.132 \)) and 1.80 (95% CI, 0.99–3.25, \( P = 0.052 \)), respectively. The groups did not differ significantly in the time to doubling of the UP/C (log-rank, \( P = 0.94 \)). The two leading MDS components were not significantly associated with any of the outcomes of interest, including a GFR event, dialysis, or the composites of a GFR event or dialysis, or that of a GFR event, dialysis, or death.

No statistically significant interactions were detected between genotype groups and trial drug groups, trial blood pressure groups, baseline GFR, or proteinuria (\( P > 0.10 \) for all interactions). Thus only the main effect of genotype groups was considered in the final Cox regression analyses.

**CVE**

There were no significant differences in the observed CVE during the trial period (\( P = 0.27 \)), with the event rate as 21/186 (11.3%), 41/361 (11.4%), and 15/145 (10.3%) for the 0/0, 1/0, and 1/1 groups, respectively. Similarly, logistic regression adjusted for baseline characteristics showed that no significant differences were found for the CVE risks among the three genotype groups.

**GSTM1 is Part of the Nrf2 Stress-Response Pathway**

How might the GSTM1 enzyme function to modify a hypertension-related kidney disease course? We previously reported that knockdown of GSTM1 expression by siRNA resulted in an increase in the reactive species (ROS) \( \text{O}_2^- \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) in VSMCs (38). The influence of GSTM1 on intracellular levels of ROS is likely due to its role in regulating the levels of endogenously generated reactive aldehydes that are products of lipid peroxidation. Among these reactive aldehydes, 4-HNE has been shown to induce mitochondrial generation of ROS in VSMCs (22). Enzyme kinetic assays have demonstrated that GSTM1 has activity against the reactive aldehydes acrolein and 4-HNE (2, 17, 25–26). However, the extent of the role of GSTM1 in regulating intracellular levels and activities of reactive aldehydes is not known. To determine whether loss of GSTM1 alters intracellular levels of 4-HNE, we performed Western blot analysis of isolated mouse primary VSMCs using an antibody that detects 4-HNE-modified proteins (4-HNE adducts). Treatment of primary VSMCs from the B6 strain (that has low levels of GSTM1) (38) with Gstm1 siRNA to knock down GSTM1 expression resulted in significantly higher levels of 4-HNE adducts compared with control siRNA (Fig. 3A). Conversely, overexpression of GSTM1 in primary VSMCs from the B6 strain (that has low levels of GSTM1) (38) by a GSTm1 expression vector (Fig. 3B, left) significantly reduced 4-HNE adduct levels (Fig. 3B, right). These data support that GSTM1 regulates the biological levels of reactive aldehydes such as 4-HNE.

Intracellularly, exposure to reactive aldehydes results in the release of Nrf2 from the Nrf2-Keap complex and the escape of Nrf2 from Keap1-mediated ubiquitination (degradation) (18), leading to increased availability of Nrf2 in the cytoplasm to...
translocate to the nucleus to activate transcription of phase II oxidative stress response genes, including \textit{Gstm1} \(7, 20, 33\). Deletion of \textit{Nrf2} in the mouse results in decreased expression of \textit{Gstm1–Gstm4}, and \textit{Gsta1} and \textit{Gsta2} \(5\), and increased sensitivity to hepatic, pulmonary, ovarian, and neurotoxic consequences of acute exposures to environmental agents and drugs \(19\). These data suggest that the \textit{Nrf2-Gstm1} pathway is upregulated as a cellular protective mechanism in response to stressful stimuli. In support, we found that exposure of cultured VSMCs to 4-HNE resulted in increased NRF2 and GSTM1 protein levels in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3C). To determine whether GSTM1 can influence the expression of \textit{Nrf2}, we next used siRNA to knock down \textit{Gstm1} in VSMCs and found that reduced expression of GSTM1 results in a significant increase in \textit{Nrf2} levels compared with control-siRNA (scrambled) siRNA (\(P = 0.0006\) by densitometry). Bands at molecular weights of 140, 70, 50, and 37 kDa correspond to proteins that have been modified by 4-HNE. Each lane represents separate cell treatment conditions. \textit{B}, \textit{left}: successful overexpression of GSTM1 in C57BL/6 VSMCs by a \textit{Gstm1}-expressing vector. \textit{Right}: effect of overexpression of GSTM1 on intracellular levels of 4-HNE protein adducts in VSMCs from the B6 mouse strain. Overexpression of GSTM1 results in significantly decreased levels of 4-HNE protein adducts compared with untransfected and empty vector conditions (\(P = 0.0002\) by densitometry). Each lane represents separate cell treatment conditions. \textit{C}: effect of 4-HNE on expression of \textit{Nrf2} and GSTM1. Exposure to 4-HNE results in increased VSMC expression of \textit{Nrf2} and GSTM1 proteins in a dose-dependent manner. \textit{D}: effect of GSTM1 on expression of \textit{Nrf2}. Knockdown of \textit{Gstm1} by siRNA results in increased expression of \textit{Nrf2} in VSMCs.

It is generally recognized that kidney failure is associated with a significant increase in lipid peroxidation \(16, 24\). Siems et al. \(31\) reported that serum levels of 4-HNE are 3- to 10-fold higher in chronic kidney disease (CKD) patients than in healthy subjects \(31\). To more directly examine the effect of CKD on 4-HNE levels, we used the murine ischemic RRM model of CKD that has been previously shown to cause significant reduction in GFR \(27\), as well as inducing renal histopathological changes and albuminuria \(28\). Consistent with results from studies by Siems et al. \(31\) in humans with CKD, we found that renal 4-HNE adduct levels were signifi-
cantly increased in the remnant kidney compared with prenephrectomy levels (Fig. 4A). In addition, Western blot analysis demonstrated that expression of both NRF2 and GSTM1 were significantly increased in the remnant kidney compared with prenephrectomy renal expression in the same mice (Fig. 4B).

**DISCUSSION**

We have demonstrated that the *GSTM1* genotype modifies the progression of kidney disease in hypertensive patients with CKD in the AASK Trial, and patients with the *GSTM1(0)* allele(s) progressed more rapidly. Previous evidence exists that *GSTM1* polymorphisms may modify outcomes in renal disease. In a case-control study of 184 end-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients and 569 age- and sex-matched population controls in Northern India, Agrawal et al. (1) demonstrated that those individuals with *GSTM1(0)* alleles have increased odds of ESRD relative to those without null alleles [odds ratio (OR) for *GSTM1(0)* allele = 1.45, 95% CI = 1.03–2.02]. In another case-control study, Singh et al. (32) demonstrated that renal allograft participants who were homozygous for the *GSTM1(0)* allele had a 3.35-fold risk [95% CI = (1.27, 8.84), *P* = 0.01] of rejection overall and a lower mean time to first rejection (log-rank, *P* = 0.002). These studies, by virtue of their design, yield weaker conclusions than our analysis but support the hypothesis that *GSTM1* polymorphisms may modify important clinical outcomes in patients with renal disease.

Based on the laboratory data, we hypothesized that there may exist a genetic dosage effect of *GSTM1* among 0/0, 1/0, and 1/1 groups. The estimated Kaplan-Meier survival of AASK data in Figs. 1 and 2 suggested such a genetic dosage effect of *GSTM1* for the composite outcome of GFR event or dialysis and for that of GFR event, dialysis, or death. Accordingly, the Cox regression results for these two composite outcomes also seemed to suggest the similar trends, in that the adjusted HRs for 0/0 group were greater than the HRs for 1/0 group. We tested such dosing effect by switching 1/0 group as the reference group. Comparing to 1/0 group, the HR for 0/0 was 1.13 (*P* = 0.54) for the composite outcome of GFR event or dialysis and 1.26 (*P* = 0.23) for that of GFR event, dialysis, or death. Although the HRs were not statistically significant (which may be due to an insufficient number of events), their directions of higher risks were biologically plausible and would be consistent with the notion that the patients with the 0/0 genotype are at highest risks due to a complete absence of *GSTM1* enzymatic activity.

There are limitations to our analysis. When components of the composite outcomes were analyzed separately (GFR event or dialysis), we did not attain statistical significance (though there was a noteworthy trend in the unadjusted analysis; data not shown), possibly due to the fact of too few events to show an effect of the *GSTM1* genotype. The choice of the composite outcomes was made before this study and was based on the original trial and the rationale that the occurrence of any combination of the component events is clinically important. We also acknowledge that considering death in the composite outcome may lack specificity for an effect on renal disease progression. Nevertheless, its inclusion may identify broader effects of the *GSTM1* null allele worthy of additional exploration.

Considering other limitations, the results of this study apply to African Americans with hypertension and CKD who were also enrolled in a randomized trial, and thus the generalizabil-

---

**Fig. 4.** A: comparison of renal 4-HNE protein adduct levels pre- and postnephrectomy. Reduction of renal mass (RRM) results in significantly higher levels of 4-HNE protein adducts in the remnant kidney compared with prenephrectomy state. Analysis was performed using kidney tissues from the same 3 mice, before and after RRM. B: effect of RRM on renal expression of nuclear factor-erythroid 2 (NRF2) and *GSTM1* proteins. Induction of chronic kidney disease in the mouse results in significantly increased renal levels of Nrf2 and *GSTM1* in the remnant kidney. Analysis was performed using kidney tissues from the same 3 mice, before and after RRM.
ity may be somewhat limited. There may also be residual confounding from the trial interventions. Nevertheless, our analyses demonstrate that the distribution of the genotype (of primary interest) was not significantly different among the trial intervention groups at baseline, nor were there significant statistical interactions between the GSTM1 genotype and trial interventions in the outcome analysis. Finally, in the Cox regression analyses, adjusting for the antihypertensive drug group assignment or the blood pressure goal group did not change the overall conclusion that GSTM1 genotypes are significantly associated with the chosen clinical outcomes.

Regarding our genotyping methods, our primers are quite specific, and it seems unlikely that our PCR products would result from other unexpected amplifications of other GSTM genes or other GST classes of genes. Additionally, our analysis revealed that the MDS components did not differ significantly among the three genotype groups and that they were not significantly associated with any of the chosen outcomes. Our analysis further adjusted for potential bias from population stratification, and the significant associations between the null allele(s) and the outcomes of interest were not affected by inclusion of the MDS components as covariates. Thus our findings are reasonably robust with respect to the impact of the potential population admixture. Finally, it is possible that other GSTM1 variants that are in linkage disequilibrium with GSTM1(0) may be the actual risk alleles. Even if this were the case, no GSTM1 variant to date has been shown to correlate as strongly with GSTM1 enzyme activity as the null allele. Seidegard et al. (29) demonstrated that the null allele reduces GSTM1 activity in vitro in a dose-dependent manner such that those in the population that are homozygous null have absolutely no activity in vitro. Moreover, there is already a significant body of evidence implicating the GSTM1(0) allele in many common human diseases.

Our observation has generated additional clinical questions that warrant further study. First, does the null allele have similar effects in other racial groups and in kidney diseases not attributable to hypertension? Second, in those individuals with hypertension, does the GSTM1(0) allele predispose them to the development of hypertensive kidney disease? Finally, does the presence of the GSTM1(0) allele modify the effect of other genetic risk factors for CKD, such as APOL1?

What might be the biological effect by which GSTM1 influences kidney disease progression? We demonstrated in vitro that loss of GSTM1 results in increased levels of the reactive aldehyde 4-HNE. Our findings are consistent with the report that GSTM1(0) homozygous subjects have higher plasma levels of the reactive aldehyde malondialdehyde MDA than those with the active allele (30). In patients with renal failure, plasma 4-HNE and MDA levels are significantly elevated (36) and have been demonstrated to directly correlate with the degree of renal anemia (31). Determination of the association between GSTM1 genotypes and circulating levels of 4-HNE in normal and CKD states will be a focus of future studies.

We found that 4-HNE stimulated the expression of Nrf2 and GSTM1 proteins in VSMCs in a dose-dependent manner. Using the RRM model of CKD in the mouse, we found that 4-HNE levels were significantly increased in the remnant kidney and this was associated with significantly increased mRNA (not shown) and protein levels of Nrf2 and GstM1. Collectively, our data suggest that the Nrf2-Gstm1 pathway is normally upregulated in CKD/RRM in a protective response to increased oxidative stress, and that this may be in part mediated by increased levels of reactive aldehydes. Thus a genetic polymorphism of GSTM1 that results in the loss of the capacity to engage this protective response would lead to an environment of exaggerated oxidative stress with consequential acceleration of disease progression. Identification of individuals who are deficient in the GSTM1 enzyme has the potential to identify those at risk for accelerated adverse outcome.
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